

oralhealth

CANADA'S LEADING DENTAL JOURNAL

May 2006



Bacteria:

The

EVIL

of all

ROOT!

The Endodontic
Issue

www.oralhealthjournal.com

A BUSINESS INFORMATION GROUP PUBLICATION

Publications Mail Agreement No. 40069240

Bacteria: The Evil of All Root

Steven Cohen, DDS, Cert. Endo, Gary Glassman, DDS, FRCD(C)

Picture this: A small town in the Wild West Frontier. The street is deserted, it's high noon, and the sun is beating down on this dust bowl of a town. Two gunfighters square off for a showdown, each at one end of the main street. At one end is the lawman, wearing the white hat, defending all that is good and honourable for the people. At the other end is the villain, wearing the black hat, who feeds on the weaknesses, and fears of these same people. Hanging in the balance is the moral health of this small outpost of civilization.

This struggle is classic to our species. It can be played over and over in almost every facet of human life. We enjoy a greater quality of life because of the advances we have made in biologic research, in technology, and in understanding our bodies and the processes of disease and aging. The status of our oral health is not any different.

At one end of the street, wearing the white hat is the dentist. Traditionally, he/she was armed with a handpiece, a toothbrush, some floss, some fluoride and a pair of forceps. Over time, the handpiece artillery expanded to include higher speed turbines, sonics, ultrasonics, and even lasers. Restorative materials evolved to better adapt and bond.



Dr. Steven Cohen is an endodontist on staff at Sunnybrook Hospital Dental Clinic and clinical instructor in the graduate programme of Endodontics at the University of Toronto. He maintains a private practice limited to endodontics in Mississauga, ON, Canada.

Joining the chemical warfare front with fluoride are sodium hypochlorite, EDTA, calcium hydroxide, chlorhexidine, citric acid, mineral trioxide aggregate, and many other agents. The single solitary dentist has become a team leader, delegating specific battlegrounds to different specialists, each with a specific niche. Replacing the pulps of teeth is one battleground. Regenerating and /or grafting the periodontal support structures are another. Replacing the battle-fatigued tooth (soldier) with an



Dr. Gary Glassman is a fellow and examiner for the Royal College of Dentists of Canada, is the endodontic contributing consultant for Oral Health Journal and maintains a private practice limited to endodontics in Toronto, ON, Canada.

implant is yet another.

At the other end of the street, wearing black, is the bacteria. Primitive, and very, very small, this adversary has been around since the beginning of time. What it lacks in size, it makes up for in huge numbers. It defines the term "opportunistic". The bacteria also have an armoury of weapons, but these weapons are not quite as tangible. First, there is the huge number of them and their ability to reproduce rapidly.

Then there is resistance that develops and evolves and challenges all the dentist's chemical antimicrobials. Mother Nature then throws in the wild card of genetic mutation, and new strains and species develop. And if, just for an instant, the dentist appears to be gaining a foothold in this battle; there is the one advantage that bacteria have always made the most opportunity out of. That is the weakness of being human... the human body, a variable immune system, and at times a non-compliant healing response.

Decay can and will happen. Probing depths can get deeper. Gingival tissue will recede. Pulp can get inflamed and turn necrotic. Crown margins will leak after a certain amount of time. Root canal treatments can fail. When asked how long a root canal treatment will last, probably the most

correct answer is: "as long as the coronal restoration of that tooth stays sound". Coronal microleakage and recurrent contamination is the most common cause for root canal failure.

If a patient could not master oral hygiene when there were teeth present, what would change when an implant is placed? The added challenge with implants is that there is an entire issue of the health of the host and the health of the recipient site that has to be contended with. It doesn't matter to the bacteria. They are opportunistic! They will go where the microscopic space allows them, and set up shop. It doesn't seem to matter to the bacteria whether the pocket they are thriving in is the gingival-root cementum interface, or the gingival-titanium interface.

To some degree, we are too crit-

ical of ourselves. When we discuss procedures and materials and quote a "success rate", we must not forget that the other side of that coin is "failure rate". Of course there are failure rates. We are human beings treating other human beings.

Throughout any battle, time should be taken to stop and appreciate the victories and the gains that have been made. The "weapons" we use now to complete basic and complex dentistry are fantastic. Our arsenal has expanded tremendously, and the quality of oral health in our country is ranked one of, if not the highest in the world. We should just be wary of that same old enemy. The villain in the black hat is still waiting at the edge of town...and the clock seems to be stuck at five minutes before noon. **OH**

ARTICLE CATEGORY 594

Rips, Strips and Broken Tips: Handling the Endodontic Mishap

PART II: The Perforation

Steven Cohen, DDS, Cert. Endo, Gary Glassman, DDS, FRDC(C), Richard Mounce, DDS

In the *Glossary of Endodontic Terms* (7th edition, 2003) published by the American Association of Endodontists, the term "perforation" is defined as: "the mechanical or pathologic communication between the root canal system and the external tooth surface".¹ Within this explanation there is an almost endless list of clinical situations where this aberr-

rant "communication" can lead to root canal treatment, retreatment, corrective surgery and/ or extraction. Considering the technical advances in dentistry over the past 20 years, and specifically in the discipline of endodontics, disassembling complex restorations, creating barriers, and sealing these communications is now a treatment option with some deg-

ree of predictability.

Pathologic situations (such as root resorption) aside, the mechanical or iatrogenic perforation is a procedural mishap that can wreak havoc on any dentist who performs endodontic treatment. From the inexperienced, recently-graduated dentist who simply encounters a calcified pulp cham-



FIGURE 1—Retention or Extraction and Implant replacement. At consultation, pain was identified from tooth 3.6. Noted on this tooth were a full crown, cast metal core and post in the mesial root very close to, if not perforating, the inner root wall; carbon fiber post very far down the distal root to where the apical seal has been compromised; lesion at the distal root apex; canals were "zipped" or transported from the true mesial canal apices. Extraction was advised for this tooth, with implant suggested for replacement.



FIGURE 2—NeoCote™, NeoPlug™, and NeoTape™ synthetic wound barrier (Citagenix Inc., Laval, QC) are absorbable collagen wound dressings used to provide a matrix to control the placement of MTA at the perforation site. It controls bleeding, stabilizes blood clots, fully absorbs in 10-14 days and accelerates the wound healing process.



FIGURE 3—MTA ProRoot™ material. ProRoot MTA is compositionally formulated to have the physical properties, setting requirements and characteristics necessary for a clinically effective root repair material. Each pack of ProRoot MTA powder comes with a premeasured unit dose of water for convenience in mixing — simply pour the powder onto a pad, add the water and mix. Upon mixing, ProRoot MTA reaches a working consistency rapidly and is ready to be applied to the prepared site. Because ProRoot MTA creates a good seal, allows less leakage, is biocompatible and sets in the presence of moisture, root repairs performed with this material result in more predictable results.

ber and has no traditional textbook "landmarks" to guide him/her to canal orifices, to the well seasoned endodontist who may perforate the canal wall in the course of freeing up a separated rotary file, the more difficult that a case may be, the higher the incidence that problems may occur.

Tackling the problem is easier if it is broken down into component parts: the patient, the canal system, and the perforation. Patient management includes informed consent about what happened and advising about the treatment options. Perhaps referral to a specialist would be in the best interest of the patient. Perhaps the patient may prefer to have the tooth extracted, and plan for a prosthetic replacement (Fig. 1).

In the most general terms, the more rapidly a perforation can be sealed, the better. Ideally, if treatment is performed under a surgical microscope and a rubber dam, and all of the needed repair materials are available, it has value to correct the defect at the same appointment. Alternatively, if this is not the case as outlined below, the overriding key value to the patient and clinician to promote healing is to keep bacteria from contaminating the perforation to the greatest extent possible from the time of occurrence to the time of the repair. Coronal seal between visits is critical.

The canal system, the inflamed or necrotic pulp which was the reason why the tooth was entered, still needs to be addressed. Depending on the case, it may be more efficient to wall off the perforation with a barrier material, and then finish the endodontic therapy... leaving the handling of the perforation as the final step in that one appointment. In other cases, it may be



FIGURE 4—MTA ProRoot™ is available in grey or white as shown in this photo (DENTSPLY Tulsa Dental).




FIGURE 5—Dovgan MTA Carriers (Quality Aspirators, Duncanville, Texas). Available in 3 diameter sizes, with one size being "bendable" to adapt to a particular clinical situation. Available from Obtura Spartan Canada.



FIGURE 6A-D—Perforation during access. **6A)** Initial access into tooth 1.4 displays bur penetration through the mesial wall. **6B)** Walling off the perforation, and negotiating the true canal spaces. **6C)** Obturation of the canals, followed by sealing of the perforation with MTA. **6D)** Five month recall; no symptoms; no abnormal periodontal probing depths.

best to complete the pulpectomy, place a dressing of calcium hydroxide in the canal system, and then deal with the perforation as the priority. At a second visit, the endodontic therapy can be completed.

The advent of recent materials

has facilitated treatment of perforations to a significant degree. Synthetic collagen wound dressing, such as Neocote™ (Citagenix Inc. Lava, QC) (Fig. 2), allow walling off the perforation site, and provide a matrix to pack the final restorative material against. The root repair material,



FIGURES 7ABC & 8ABC—Two cases of perforation into the furcation. **7A) & 8A)** Pre-operative film, as patient was referred. **7B) & 8B)** Conventional root canal treatment followed by MTA repair at the perforation site. **7C)** Three month recall and **8C)** One year recall. Apical and furcation lesions resolving, and teeth have been permanently restored.




FIGURES 9A-D—Furcation perforation repair in two appointments on tooth #27. **9A)** Pre-operative film. Patient indicating chronic pain in this tooth since the completion of endodontic therapy. Furcation floor looks suspect. **9B)** Removal of all canal and chamber material. Perforation discovered on furcation floor. Calcium hydroxide placed into canals, and perforation treated with Neocote™ and MTA. **9C)** At second appointment, patient reported symptoms had resolved. Canal retreatment was completed. **9D)** Three month recall, with chamber permanently restored. No symptoms reported.

Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (ProRoot™) (Fig. 3) has been shown in numerous studies to allow significantly less leakage, better adaptation to root canal walls, less bacterial migration²⁻⁵ and formation of new cementum over the restored root interface.⁶ First developed as a grey cement material, a white, tooth coloured MTA has been developed for use in anterior teeth where aesthetics may be a concern (Fig. 4). The powder component mixes with sterile water, and there is an initial set at approximately five minutes. Complete setting is reported to be over a four to eight hour peri-

od. Placement of the MTA into a specific site has been made easier with the development of Doygan MTA carriers (Quality Aspirators, Duncanville, TX) (Fig. 5), available in three different diameters, and one being “bendable” to adapt to fit into the furcation or down a canal space.

The most common locations where perforations occur are through the exterior root wall during access, the furcation floor in molars when searching for a canal orifice, progressing straight through a curved root once in the canal, and enlarging the canal to



FIGURES 10A-D—Speeding through a curve. **10A)** Trial cone film sent with patient on referral. Straight path of cone noted in curved MB root, and perforation. **10B)** Perforation closed off with MTA, and a trial file negotiating true MB canal space on check film. **10C)** Second check film displaying perforation repair, and calcium hydroxide in the palatal and DB canal spaces. **10D)** Three month recall. Canals sealed, no apparent disruption of the PDL space along the MB root, and temporary crown in place. No symptoms reported. Patient advised to proceed with permanent core and crown.

create a post space resulting in a “strip” perforation.

ACCESS

During access into a tooth with a narrow mesial-to-distal direction, the bur can be directed slightly off line and though the exterior root wall (Fig. 6). In a case like this, the perforation can be walled off with a barrier, and access can be corrected. The canal system can then be treated in the usual manner, with placement of Neocote™ into the perforation site and sealing with MTA at the end of treatment. The MTA will set by the time the patient returns for the permanent restorative appointment.

FURCATION

Looking for a canal orifice on the chamber floor of molars can lead to perforations into the furcation area. Once the canal systems have been treated, the final step

is placing the barrier for a matrix, and sealing with root repair material (Figs. 7 & 8). In some particular cases, it may be better to locate the canals and place calcium hydroxide to get the endodontic component under control. The perforation site can then be cleaned, packed with Neocote™ to form a matrix, and then sealed off with MTA (Fig. 9). At the next appointment, the MTA is permanently set, and the endodontic therapy can be completed without risk of washing out of the MTA.

MISSING THE CURVE

With the advent of engine driven files, more rapidly completed treatment can be a positive. However, with speed comes an increase risk of problems. An instrument progressing straight where the canal curves can result in contact with the root wall, the ligament and the api-

cal or radicular bone (Fig. 10). The use of the Dovgan carrier is most advantageous in a case like this, where access half way up the root requires very narrow diameter instruments and precision placement. Because the MTA material is easily washed out until it has set, sealing the perforation would be the priority in the first appointment. Endodontic therapy would be completed at the second appointment.

STRIPPING THE WALLS




Overzealous coronal shaping or post space preparation can lead to what is called a “strip” perforation. Recalling root anatomy, in cross sectional view there is often a subtle concavity to the distal root on the mesial (furcation) side. Coronal flaring can encroach upon this concave surface. Widening the space for a post space can cause the same result. Suddenly, hemorrhage can be found in the canal space! Using the same strategic

approach, under medium to high power magnification, a soft compressible barrier can be placed into the defect (Neocote™). The canal treatment can be carried out, with obturation finishing just apical to the defect. After replacing the barrier with fresh Neocote™, the MTA can be placed to obturate the coronal third of the canal — including the perforation site — right up to the chamber floor. In Figures 11 & 12, four different cases are shown with this kind of repair and healing.


Utilizing a repair material with greater clinical and histological success than ever before, coupled with magnification, fibre optic illumination, and the use of precision instruments for placement, the bar has been raised on what can be treated and restored to full function in a patient's natural dentition. **OH**

continued on page 94

Continued from page 18



FIGURES 11A-H—Two cases of mesial root “stripping” and treatment. **11A, B)** Canal treatments, with post space allowances, and teeth ready to restore. **11C, D)** Inadvertent post space creation in the mesial canals, resulting in furcation perforations. **11E, F)** Clearing of chamber and post, sealing the mesial wall defects with MTA. **11G, H)** Six month and twelve month recalls, with osseous healing noted.



FIGURES 12A-F—Two cases of Distal root “stripping” and treatment. **12A)** Overzealous flaring of the distal root. **12B)** Sealing of the coronal third of the distal canal with MTA. **12C)** Twelve month recall; lesion resolved; tooth permanently restored. **12D)** Post placement in the distal root with resultant furcation lesion developing; no apical lesion apparent. **12E)** Decision made to only retrieve post, and seal perforation with MTA. **12F)** Three month recall, no symptoms, and furcation lesion reduced in size.

Dr. Steven Cohen is an endodontist on staff at Sunnybrook Hospital Dental Clinic and clinical instructor in the graduate programme of Endodontics at the University of Toronto. He maintains a private practice limited to endodontics in Mississauga, ON, Canada.

Dr. Gary Glassman is a Fellow and Endodontic Examiner for the Royal College of Dentists of Canada, Associate in Dentistry at the University of Toronto, and Endodontic Consultant

for Oral Health Dental Journal. He lectures internationally and maintains a private practice limited to endodontics in Toronto, ON, Canada.

Dr. Richard Mounce is in full time private practice in Portland, Oregon, USA. He lectures globally and is widely published.

Oral Health welcomes this original article.

REFERENCES

1. American Association of Endodontists. Glossary of

Endodontic Terms, Seventh Edition, 2003.

2. Torabinejad M, Watson TF, Pitt Ford TR. The sealing ability of a mineral trioxide aggregate as a retrograde root filling material. *J Endodontics* 1993; 19: 591-5.
3. Lee SJ, Monsef M, Torabinejad M. Sealing ability of a mineral trioxide aggregate for repair of lateral root perforations. *J Endodontics* 1993; 19: 541-544.
4. Torabinejad M, Smith PW, Kettering JD, Pitt Ford TR. Comparative investigation of marginal adaptation of mineral trioxide aggregate and other commonly used root-end filling material. *J Endodontics* 1995; 21: 295-299.
5. Fischer EJ, Arens DE, Miller CH. Bacterial leakage of mineral trioxide aggregate as compared with zinc-free amalgam, intermediate restorative material, and super EBA as a root end filling material. *J Endodontics* 1998; 24: 176-9.
6. Torabinejad M, Pitt Ford TR, McKendry DJ. Histologic assessment of mineral trioxide aggregate as a root-end filling in monkeys. *J Endodontics* 1997; 23: 225-8.

©ARTICLE CATEGORY 594